



End of Term Employer Evaluation Form

Thank you for training and mentoring a University of Alberta Engineering Co-op student. This end of term co-op evaluation provides your student with important information that further facilitates their professional development. Before you get started, please be aware:

- The form should be filled out by the student's direct supervisor or manager.
- After you have completed the evaluation, please meet with the student to share and discuss your feedback.
- The completed form must be submitted to the Co-op Office before the last day of the current 4-month work term or the student's final day of employment for the same work term, whichever comes first.

Have questions regarding the End-of-Term Performance Evaluation? Please contact us at: engineering.co-op@ualberta.ca.

Student: _____ ID#: _____

Degree Program: _____ Work Term #: _____

Employer: _____ Start Date: _____ End Date: _____

Evaluator: _____ Title/Department: _____

Evaluator Phone: _____ Evaluator Email: _____

Supervisor (if other than Evaluator): _____ Title/Department: _____

Assigned Co-op Contact: _____

Please list the student's main accomplishments and work assignments completed this term:

What are the student's areas of strength? Please list two or three:

What are the student's areas for continued growth and improvement? Please list two or three:



Evaluated Competency – Self Management

Category	Indicate your assessment of the student with regard to each of the descriptors below:	Excellent	Above Average	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	Unable to Evaluate
1. Quality of Work	High standards of personal performance, strives for quality, and puts in extra effort when required.	<input type="radio"/>					
2. Quantity of Work	High quantity of work produced in a timely and consistent manner.	<input type="radio"/>					
3. Works Independently	Works well with minimal supervision, and knows the appropriate channels for seeking out assistance.	<input type="radio"/>					
4. Professional Development	Gains proficiency in assigned duties, asks questions, and seeks advice to improve their personal and professional practice.	<input type="radio"/>					
5. Reliability	Displays a strong work ethic, adapts to changing work conditions, and meets deadlines.	<input type="radio"/>					
6. Planning and Organization	Organizes and plans their time to complete duties on schedule, and contributes to efficient workflows.	<input type="radio"/>					
7. Attitude	Friendly, positive, and enthusiastic.	<input type="radio"/>					
8. Entrepreneurial Mindset	Demonstrates initiative, creativity, and engagement when appropriate by proposing new ideas to improve efficiency or add value.	<input type="radio"/>					
9. Professional Responsibility	Demonstrates a sensitivity to and engagement with matters of professional practice, ethics, and the social impact of engineering.	<input type="radio"/>					

Evaluated Competency – Team Effectiveness

Category	Indicate your assessment of the student with regard to each of the descriptors below:	Excellent	Above Average	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	Unable to Evaluate
1. Team Work	Thrives in collaborative environments, cooperates with all team members, and proactively cultivates professional networks.	<input type="radio"/>					
2. Client Focus	Builds durable relationships and engages effectively with clients and external stakeholders.	<input type="radio"/>					
3. Inclusive Mindset	Values differing perspectives and embraces a diversity of people and ideas in the workplace.	<input type="radio"/>					
4. Project Management	Demonstrates the ability to think holistically about engineering projects, identifying various aspects of and threats to project success.	<input type="radio"/>					
5. Leadership	Exhibits potential as a future leader.	<input type="radio"/>					



Evaluated Competency – Communication

Category	Indicate your assessment of the student with regard to each of the descriptors below:	Excellent	Above Average	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	Unable to Evaluate
1. Verbal Communication	Communicates effectively; information conveyed is easily understood.	<input type="radio"/>					
2. Public Speaking	Speaks confidently and accurately when presenting ideas to internal and/or external stakeholders.	<input type="radio"/>					
3. Written Communication	Demonstrates strong technical writing skills, with jargon free and accurate prose; is always professional in workplace correspondence.	<input type="radio"/>					
4. Response to Feedback	Seeks and embraces feedback as a way to manage and improve their own performance.	<input type="radio"/>					

Evaluated Competency – Technical Skills

Category	Indicate your assessment of the student with regard to each of the descriptors below:	Excellent	Above Average	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Unsatisfactory	Unable to Evaluate
1. Use of Engineering Tools	Uses engineering tools, including software and computer applications, effectively and appropriately to improve productivity and work quality.	<input type="radio"/>					
2. Application of Theory	Demonstrates an ability to apply engineering knowledge to create solutions to technical problems.	<input type="radio"/>					
3. Problem Solving	Excels at identifying, analyzing, and solving problems.	<input type="radio"/>					
4. Reading Comprehension	Reviews technical documents and drawings to understand implications; able to interpret and summarize key points.	<input type="radio"/>					
5. Safety and Risk Management	Demonstrates awareness of risks and a relentless safety focus; consistently models the safe use of tools and technology; adheres to all company site safety protocols.	<input type="radio"/>					

Overall Performance Rating

Note: There should be a general relationship between your assessment regarding the individual descriptors and the overall student performance rating. For example, if a student receives mostly "Excellent" and "Above Average," the overall performance rating should be "Excellent" or "Above Average."

If a student's performance merits a "Needs Improvement" or an "Unsatisfactory," we encourage you to award accordingly. Students receiving an "Unsatisfactory" overall rating will not receive academic credit for the work term. An evaluation of "Needs Improvement" results in an academic grade of "Incomplete," which will be changed to "Credit" should they receive an evaluation of "Satisfactory" or better on their next work term.

Excellent: Frequently exceeded expectations; contributed considerable value, overall superior performance

Above Average: Routinely met and sometimes exceeded expectations, overall very good performance

Satisfactory: Consistently met most or all expectations, overall good performance

Needs Improvement: Inconsistently met expectations; uneven performance

Unsatisfactory: Rarely met expectations, overall unsatisfactory performance



Additional Comments on the Student's Overall Performance:

I would recommend this student for employment in future work terms and/or following graduation: Yes No

Student's Comments:

Student's Signature

Date

Supervisor's Signature

Date

The information requested on this form is collected under the authority of the Universities Act and Section 33 (c) of the Alberta Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act to administer the work term component of the Engineering Co-op Program, Faculty of Engineering, University of Alberta, and for academic, administrative, financial, funding, planning, and statistical purposes. For further information, [contact](#) the Manager of Student Services, Co-op & Engineering Career Connections.